

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,549	12/17/2003	Curtis Corbins	017197-00001	9071	
7590 05/04/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
ARENT-FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC Suite 400			TSO, EDWARD H		
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20036-5339			2838		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>, </u>		>~_		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
	10/736,549	CORBINS, CURTIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edward H. Tso	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this comr (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
• •) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			52)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/736,549

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tung et al. (US 6,528,969). The reference discloses a portable charger 2 for a mobile phone 1 having, *inter alia*, a housing 21 to house a battery 22, a receptacle 25 to receive a power cord adapter 3 for charging the phone. See figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shy (US 6,215,273) in view of Tung et al. (US 6,528,969) and further in view of You et al. (US 6,501,246). The reference of Shy discloses a portable power supply 10 having, *inter alia*, one chamber 121 to house a power battery unit 50, a second chamber 44 to house the power cord adapter 45. See figures 2 and 3.

Art Unit: 2838

It is however not use to charge a mobile phone. Tung discloses a similar device but is sized to charge a mobile phone. It would have been obvious to modify the references so that the cord used in Tung can be retracted into the device similar to Shy's for the purpose of compactness and design so it can prevent the user from losing the cord.

Regarding claims 2 and 14, the reference of You disclose a cap 30 for protecting the receptacle when it is not in use. It would have been obvious to use a protective cap in order to prevent foreign matter from clogging up the terminal.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, it would have been obvious to have the power cord adapter be any type of adapters including the cigar lighter plug since it is just a design choice.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/17/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the housing of Tung is actually made of two separate housings, one is for the battery and the other is for the transformer circuitry. Applicant makes no distinction in the claim that there is only one housing to house all the claimed components. The housing of Tung is one housing that contains separate chambers or "housings" to house different components. In *arguendo*, it does not matter whether it is one housing or a multiple of integrated housing units since one of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious based on the design interpretation.

Applicant goes on to argue that the Examiner pointed to the wrong number 45 to show a power adapter. The power adapter is shown as the power pliers recessed in the chamber. Applicant further argues that the power adapter chamber is actually mounted on the housing rather than in the housing. The Examiner would like to point out that the housing is the entire structure and that the picture is an exploded view showing the details. So arguing the semantic of the word "on" and "in" is irrelevant.

And the reference of You only shows that the connector can be capped to prevent contamination. Regardless what the connector is connected to whatever device (large or small), if one does not want one's connectors to be contaminated, one would cap it.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,549

Art Unit: 2838

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom, can be reached at (571) 272-1989 on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner (571) 272-2087 Page 5